

# Nonarithmetic hybrids in $\mathrm{PU}(2, 1)$

Joseph Wells  
Arizona State University

November 19, 2018

## Abstract

We explore hybrid subgroups of certain non-arithmetic lattices in  $\mathrm{PU}(2, 1)$ . In particular, we show that all of Mostow's small phase-shift non-arithmetic lattices arise via a hybrid construction

## 1 Introduction

One key notion in the study of lattices in a semisimple real Lie group  $G$  is that of *arithmeticity* (which we will not define here; see [Mor15] for a standard reference). When  $G$  arises as the isometry group of a symmetric space  $X$  of non-compact type, the combined work of Margulis [Mar84], Gromov–Schoen [GS92], and Corlette [Cor92] imply that nonarithmetic lattices only exist when  $X = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^n$  or  $X = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$  (real and complex hyperbolic space, respectively); equivalently, up to finite index,  $G = \mathrm{PO}(n, 1)$  or  $\mathrm{PU}(n, 1)$ . Due to their exceptional nature, it has been a major challenge to find and understand nonarithmetic lattices in these Lie groups.

Given two arithmetic lattices  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  in  $\mathrm{PO}(n, 1)$  with common sublattice  $\Gamma_{1,2} \leq \mathrm{PO}(n - 1, 1)$ , Gromov and Piatetski-Shapiro showed in [GP87] that one can produce a new "hybrid" lattice  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathrm{PO}(n, 1)$  by way of a technique

that they call "interbreeding." In particular, when  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are not commensurable,  $\Gamma$  is shown to be nonarithmetic. It has been asked whether an analogous technique can exist for lattices in  $\mathrm{PU}(n, 1)$ .

Hunt proposed one possible analog (see the references contained in [Pau12]) where one starts with two arithmetic lattices  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  in  $\mathrm{PU}(n, 1)$  and embeddings  $\iota_i : \mathrm{PU}(n, 1) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{PU}(n + 1, 1)$  such that (1)  $\iota_1(\Gamma_1)$  and  $\iota_2(\Gamma_2)$  stabilize totally geodesic complex hypersurfaces in  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ , (2) that these hypersurfaces are orthogonal to one another, and (3) that  $\iota_1(\Gamma_1) \cap \iota_2(\Gamma_2)$  is a lattice in  $\mathrm{PU}(n - 1, 1)$ . The hybrid subgroup is then  $H(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) := \langle \iota_1(\Gamma_1), \iota_2(\Gamma_2) \rangle$ .

In [Pau12] Paupert produces an infinite family of hybrids that are non-discrete. In [PW18] Paupert and the author produce examples of discrete (and arithmetic) hybrids in the Picard modular groups, some with finite covolume and others with infinite covolume. In this paper, we explore a slightly modified hybrid construction in the context of lattices produced by Mostow in [Mos80] (these are lattices in  $\mathrm{PU}(2, 1)$  generated by three complex reflections of order  $p$  with phase shift parameter  $t$ , see Section 2.3 for the explicit definition) and prove the following main result:

**Theorem 1.** *1. All of Mostow's non-arithmetic lattices  $\Gamma(p, t)$  with small phase shift ( $|t| < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$ ) arise as hybrids of Fuchsian triangle groups.*

*2. The non-arithmetic lattices  $\Gamma(4, 1/12)$  and  $\Gamma(5, 1/5)$  in  $\mathrm{PU}(2, 1)$  arise as hybrids of two non-commensurable arithmetic lattices in  $\mathrm{PU}(1, 1)$ .*

The second part of this theorem highlights the similarity of our hybrids and those hybrids of Gromov–Piatetski-Shapiro, specifically in its ability to produce a nonarithmetic lattice as a hybrid of two noncommensurable arithmetic lattices.

## 2 Background

### 2.1 Complex hyperbolic space

Let  $H$  be a Hermitian matrix of signature  $(n, 1)$  and let  $\mathbb{C}^{n,1}$  denote  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  endowed with the Hermitian form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$  coming from  $H$ . Let  $V_-$  denote the set of points  $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n,1}$  for which  $\langle z, z \rangle_H < 0$ , and let  $V_0$  denote the set of points for which  $\langle z, z \rangle_H = 0$ . Given the usual projectivization map  $\mathbb{P} : \mathbb{C}^{n,1} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ , *complex hyperbolic  $n$ -space* is  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n = \mathbb{P}(V_-)$  with distance  $d$  coming from the Bergman metric

$$\cosh^2 \frac{1}{2} d(\pi(x), \pi(y)) = \frac{|\langle x, y \rangle|^2}{\langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle}$$

The ideal boundary  $\partial_{\infty} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$  is then identified with  $\mathbb{P}(V_0)$ .

### 2.2 Complex hyperbolic isometries

Let  $U(n, 1)$  denote the group of unitary matrices preserving  $H$ . The holomorphic isometry group of  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$  is  $\mathrm{PU}(n, 1) = \mathrm{U}(n, 1)/\mathrm{U}(1)$ , and the full isometry group is generated by  $\mathrm{PU}(n, 1)$  and the antiholomorphic involution  $z \mapsto \bar{z}$ . Any holomorphic isometry of  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$  is one of the following three types:

- *elliptic* if it has a fixed point in  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ .
- *parabolic* if it has exactly one fixed point in the boundary (and no fixed points in  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ ).
- *loxodromic* if it has exactly two fixed points in the boundary (and no fixed points in  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ ).

Given a vector  $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n,1}$  with  $\langle v, v \rangle = 1$  and complex number  $\zeta$  with unit modulus, the map

$$R_{v,\zeta}(x) : x \mapsto (\zeta - 1)\langle x, v \rangle v$$

is an isometry of  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$  called a *complex reflection*, and its fixed point set  $v^{\perp} \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$  is a totally geodesic subset called a  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ -*plane* (or a *complex line* when  $n = 2$ ).

### 2.3 Mostow's lattices

Following along with [Mos80] and [DFP05],  $p \geq 3$  is an integer,  $t$  is a real number satisfying  $|t| < 3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \csc(\pi/p)$ ,  $\varphi = e^{\pi it/3}$ , and  $\eta = e^{\pi i/p}$ . The Hermitian form is given by  $\langle x, y \rangle = x^T H \bar{y}$  where

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha\varphi & -\alpha\bar{\varphi} \\ -\alpha\bar{\varphi} & 1 & -\alpha\bar{\varphi} \\ -\alpha\varphi & -\alpha\bar{\varphi} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With  $p, t$  as above, the reflection groups to consider are  $\Gamma(p, t) = \langle R_1, R_2, R_3 \rangle$  where

$$R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \eta^2 & -i\eta\bar{\varphi} & -i\eta\varphi \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -i\eta\varphi & \eta^2 & -i\eta\bar{\varphi} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -i\eta\bar{\varphi} & -i\eta\varphi & \eta^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

When  $|t| < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$ , Mostow refers to these groups as having "small phase shift."

Following the notation in [DFP05], we study closely related groups  $\tilde{\Gamma}(p, t) = \langle R_1, J \rangle$  where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$J$  has order 3 and  $R_i = JR_{i+3}J^{-1}$  (where indices are taken modulo 3).

**Proposition 2** (Lemma 16.1 in [Mos80], Prop 2.11 in [DFP05]). *If  $\Gamma(p, t)$  is discrete, then it has index 1 or 3 in  $\tilde{\Gamma}(p, t)$ .*

*Remark* (Tables 1 and 2 in [Mos80], Remark 5.3 in [DFP05]). Given  $p = 3, 4, 5$ , there are only finitely-many values of  $t$  for which  $\Gamma(p, t)$  is discrete. They are given in Table 1.

**Theorem 3** (17.3 in [Mos80]). *The following lattices  $\Gamma(p, t) \leq \text{PU}(2, 1)$  are nonarithmetic:  $\Gamma(3, 5/42)$ ,  $\Gamma(3, 1/12)$ ,  $\Gamma(3, 1/30)$ ,  $\Gamma(4, 3/20)$ ,  $\Gamma(4, 1/12)$ ,  $\Gamma(5, 1/5)$ ,  $\Gamma(5, 11/30)$ . The non-cocompact lattices  $\Gamma(p, t)$  are arithmetic.*

$p$	$ t  < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$	$ t  \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$
3	$0, \frac{1}{30}, \frac{1}{18}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{5}{42}$	$\frac{1}{6}, \frac{7}{30}, \frac{1}{3}$
4	$0, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{3}{20}$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{5}{12}$
5	$\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{11}{30}, \frac{7}{10}$

Table 1: Values of  $p$  and  $t$  for which  $\Gamma(p, t)$  is discrete.

### 3 Hybrids

We present a more general and flexible hybrid construction than that originally proposed by Hunt, with the hope that it allows us to produce more lattices.

**Definition.** Let  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 < \text{PU}(n, 1)$  be lattices. We define a *hybrid of  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$*  to be any group  $H(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$  generated by discrete subgroups  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 < \text{PU}(n+1, 1)$  stabilizing totally geodesic hypersurfaces  $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2$  (respectively) such that

1.  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  are orthogonal,
2.  $\Gamma_i = \Lambda_i|_{\Sigma_i}$ , and
3.  $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$  is a lattice in  $\text{PU}(n-1, 1)$ .

We note that the hybrids explored in [Pau12] and [PW18] are still hybrids in this new sense as well, taking  $\Lambda_j = \iota_j(\Gamma_j)$  where  $\iota_j$  was an embedding into  $\Sigma_j$ .

#### 3.1 Small phase shift hybrids

When  $\Gamma(p, t)$  has small phase shift, the fundamental domain for each of these groups is built by coning over a right-angled hexagon (see Figure 1 on Page 16 of [DFP05]) which becomes degenerate for larger  $t$ -values. Taking lifts to  $\mathbb{C}^{2,1}$ , one sees that non-adjacent sides for each hexagon intersect in positive

vectors, which are given explicitly below:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{123} &= \begin{pmatrix} -i\eta\bar{\varphi} \\ 1 \\ i\eta\varphi \end{pmatrix}, & v_{231} &= \begin{pmatrix} i\eta\varphi \\ -i\eta\bar{\varphi} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, & v_{312} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i\eta\varphi \\ -i\eta\bar{\varphi} \end{pmatrix}, \\ v_{321} &= \begin{pmatrix} i\eta\varphi \\ 1 \\ -i\eta\varphi \end{pmatrix}, & v_{132} &= \begin{pmatrix} -i\eta\varphi \\ i\eta\bar{\varphi} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, & v_{213} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i\eta\varphi \\ i\eta\bar{\varphi} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Geometrically,  $v_{ijk}$  is the polar vector to the mirror for the complex reflection  $J^{\pm 1}R_jR_k$  (for  $k = j \pm 1$ ). What's more,

**Proposition 4** (Proposition 2.13(3) in [DFP05]).  $v_{ijk} \perp v_{jik}$  and  $v_{ijk} \perp v_{ikj}$ .

For the hybrid construction, we use the subspaces (considered as projective subspaces of  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ ) corresponding to  $v_{ijk}^{\perp}$ . Since  $Jv_{ijk} = v_{kij}$ , it suffices to look only at  $v_{312}^{\perp}$  and  $v_{321}^{\perp}$  as the remaining subspaces are obtained by successive applications of  $J$ . In homogeneous coordinates, one sees that

$$\begin{aligned} v_{312}^{\perp} &= \{[z, i\eta\bar{\varphi}, 1]^T : z \in \mathbb{C}\} \\ v_{321}^{\perp} &= \{[i\eta\varphi, z, 1]^T : z \in \mathbb{C}\} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Lambda_{ijk} \leq \Gamma(p, t)$  be the stabilizer subgroup of  $v_{ijk}^{\perp}$ , which is naturally identified with a subgroup of  $\text{PU}(1, 1)$ , and let  $\Gamma_{ijk}$  be a lift of this group to  $\text{SU}(1, 1)$ .

**Proposition 5.**  $\Gamma_{312}$  is a cocompact lattice in  $\text{SU}(1, 1)$ . It is arithmetic for all pairs  $(p, t)$  appearing in Table 1 except  $(3, 1/30)$ ,  $(3, 1/12)$ ,  $(3, 5/42)$ , and  $(4, 3/20)$ .

*Proof.*  $R_1$  and  $R_3J$  both stabilize  $v_{312}^{\perp}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 : [z, i\eta\bar{\varphi}, 1]^T &\mapsto [\eta^2 z + \bar{\varphi}^2 - i\eta\varphi, i\eta\bar{\varphi}, 1]^T \\ R_3J : [z, i\eta\bar{\varphi}, 1]^T &\mapsto [i\eta\bar{\varphi}/z, i\eta\bar{\varphi}, 1]^T \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be the following elements in  $SU(1,1)$  corresponding to the actions of  $R_1$  and  $R_3J$  on  $v_{312}^\perp$ , respectively.

$$A = \frac{1}{\eta} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^2 & \bar{\varphi}^2 - i\eta\varphi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-i\eta\bar{\varphi}}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\eta\bar{\varphi} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

One can check that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{Tr}(A)| &= |e^{i\pi/p} + e^{-i\pi/p}| \\ |\mathrm{Tr}(B)| &= 0 \\ |\mathrm{Tr}(A^{-1}B)| &= |e^{i\pi(-1/2+1/p+t/3)} + e^{-2\pi it/3}| \end{aligned}$$

All of these values are less than 2 when  $p \geq 3$  and  $|t| \neq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$  and so the elements are elliptic. Thus  $\langle A, B \rangle$  is a cocompact triangle group (and therefore  $\Gamma_{312}$  is a cocompact lattice). By computing orders of these elements for  $(p, t)$  values in Table 1, one obtains table below showing the corresponding triangle groups, and arithmeticity of each can be checked by comparing with the main theorem of [Tak77].

$(p, t)$	$\Delta(x, y, z)$	$(p, t)$	$\Delta(x, y, z)$
$(3, -5/42)$	$\Delta(2, 3, 7)$	$(4, -3/20)$	$\Delta(2, 4, 5)$
$(3, -1/12)$	$\Delta(2, 3, 8)$	$(4, -1/12)$	$\Delta(2, 4, 6)$
$(3, -1/18)$	$\Delta(2, 3, 9)$	$(4, 0)$	$\Delta(2, 4, 8)$
$(3, -1/30)$	$\Delta(2, 3, 10)$	$(4, 1/12)$	$\Delta(2, 4, 12)$
$(3, 0)$	$\Delta(2, 3, 12)$	$(4, 3/20)$	$\Delta(2, 4, 20)$
$(3, 1/30)$	$\Delta(2, 3, 15)$	$(5, -1/5)$	$\Delta(2, 4, 5)$
$(3, 1/18)$	$\Delta(2, 3, 18)$	$(5, -1/10)$	$\Delta(2, 5, 5)$
$(3, 1/12)$	$\Delta(2, 3, 24)$	$(5, 1/10)$	$\Delta(2, 5, 10)$
$(3, 5/42)$	$\Delta(2, 3, 42)$	$(5, 1/5)$	$\Delta(2, 5, 20)$

□

**Proposition 6.**  $\Gamma_{321}$  is a cocompact lattice in  $SU(1,1)$ . It is arithmetic for all pairs  $(p, t)$  appearing in Table 1 except  $(3, -5/42)$ ,  $(3, -1/12)$ ,  $(3, -1/30)$ , and  $(4, -3/20)$ .

*Proof.*  $R_2$  and  $JR_3^{-1}$  both stabilize  $v_{321}^\perp$ :

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 : [i\eta\varphi, z, 1]^T &\mapsto [i\eta\varphi, \eta^2 z + \varphi^2 - i\eta\bar{\varphi}, 1]^T \\ JR_3^{-1} : [i\eta\varphi, z, 1]^T &\mapsto [i\eta\varphi, i\eta\varphi/z, 1]^T \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be the following elements in  $SU(1,1)$  corresponding to the actions of  $R_2$  and  $JR_3^{-1}$  on  $v_{321}^\perp$ , respectively.

$$A = \frac{1}{\eta} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^2 & \varphi^2 - i\eta\bar{\varphi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-i\eta\bar{\varphi}}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\eta\bar{\varphi} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

One can check that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{Tr}(A)| &= |e^{i\pi/p} + e^{-i\pi/p}|, \\ |\mathrm{Tr}(B)| &= 0, \\ |\mathrm{Tr}(A^{-1}B)| &= |e^{i\pi(1/2+1/p-t/3)} - e^{2\pi it/3}|, \end{aligned}$$

All of these values are less than 2 when  $p \geq 3$  and  $|t| \neq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$  and so the elements are elliptic. Thus  $\langle A, B \rangle$  is a cocompact triangle group (and therefore  $\Gamma_{321}$  is a cocompact lattice). By computing orders of these elements for  $(p, t)$  values in Table 1, one obtains table below showing the corresponding triangle groups, and arithmeticity of each can be checked by comparing with the main theorem of [Tak77].

$(p, t)$	$\Delta(x, y, z)$	$(p, t)$	$\Delta(x, y, z)$
$(3, -5/42)$	$\Delta(2, 3, 42)$	$(4, -3/20)$	$\Delta(2, 4, 20)$
$(3, -1/12)$	$\Delta(2, 3, 24)$	$(4, -1/12)$	$\Delta(2, 4, 12)$
$(3, -1/18)$	$\Delta(2, 3, 18)$	$(4, 0)$	$\Delta(2, 4, 8)$
$(3, -1/30)$	$\Delta(2, 3, 15)$	$(4, 1/12)$	$\Delta(2, 4, 6)$
$(3, 0)$	$\Delta(2, 3, 12)$	$(4, 3/20)$	$\Delta(2, 4, 5)$
$(3, 1/30)$	$\Delta(2, 3, 10)$	$(5, -1/5)$	$\Delta(2, 5, 20)$
$(3, 1/18)$	$\Delta(2, 3, 9)$	$(5, -1/10)$	$\Delta(2, 5, 10)$
$(3, 1/12)$	$\Delta(2, 3, 8)$	$(5, 1/10)$	$\Delta(2, 5, 5)$
$(3, 5/42)$	$\Delta(2, 3, 7)$	$(5, 1/5)$	$\Delta(2, 4, 5)$

□

**Theorem 7.** For  $|t| < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$ , the hybrid  $H(\Gamma_{312}, \Gamma_{321})$  is the full lattice  $\tilde{\Gamma}(p, t)$ .

*Proof.* The group  $K = \langle R_1, R_3J, R_2, JR_3^{-1} \rangle$  is a subgroup of  $H(\Gamma_{312}, \Gamma_{321})$ . Since  $J = (R_3J)^{-1}(JR_3^{-1})^{-1}$ ,  $K = \langle R_1, J \rangle = \tilde{\Gamma}(p, t)$ . □



By comparing with the table on Page 418 of [MR03], one sees that  $\Gamma_{312}$  and  $\Gamma_{321}$  are both arithmetic and noncommensurable in the cases where  $(p, t) = (4, 1/12)$  and  $(5, 1/5)$ . This means that  $\Gamma(4, 1/12)$  and  $\Gamma(5, 1/5)$  are nonarithmetic lattices obtained by interbreeding two noncommensurable arithmetic lattices, exactly as in the Gromov–Piatetski-Shapiro construction.

## References

- [Cor92] K. Corlette. “Archimedean Superrigidity and Hyperbolic Geometry”. eng. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 135.1 (1992), pp. 165–182.
- [DFP05] M. Deraux, E. Falbel, and J. Paupert. “New constructions of fundamental polyhedra in complex hyperbolic space”. In: *Acta Math.* 194.2 (2005), pp. 155–201.
- [GP87] M. Gromov and I. Piatetski-Shapiro. “Non-arithmetic groups in lobachevsky spaces”. eng. In: *Publications Mathématiques de l’Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques* 66.1 (1987), pp. 93–103.
- [GS92] M. Gromov and R. Schoen. “Harmonic maps into singular spaces and  $p$ -adic superrigidity for lattices in groups of rank one”. en. In: *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS* 76 (1992), pp. 165–246.
- [Mar84] G. A. Margulis. “Arithmeticity of the irreducible lattices in the semi-simple groups of rank greater than 1”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 76.1 (Feb. 1984), pp. 93–120.
- [Mor15] D. W. Morris. *Introduction to arithmetic groups*. Deductive Press, [place of publication not identified], 2015, pp. xii+475.
- [Mos80] G. D. Mostow. “On a remarkable class of polyhedra in complex hyperbolic space”. In: *Pacific J. Math.* 86.1 (1980), pp. 171–276.
- [MR03] C. Maclachlan and A. W. Reid. *The arithmetic of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*. Vol. 219. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003, pp. xiv+463.
- [Pau12] J. Paupert. “Non-discrete hybrids in  $SU(2, 1)$ ”. In: *Geom. Dedicata* 157 (2012), pp. 259–268.

- [PW18] J. Paupert and J. Wells. “Hybrid lattices and thin subgroups of Picard modular groups”. In: *ArXiv e-prints* (June 2018). arXiv: 1806.01438 [math.GT].
- [Tak77] K. Takeuchi. “Arithmetic triangle groups”. In: *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 29.1 (1977), pp. 91–106.